

## 2022–23 CCCC Research Initiative: Review Criteria

Proposals will be scored on a 5 point scale using criteria defined below.

- Excellent: 5
- Very good: 4
- Good: 3
- Adequate: 2
- Poor: 1

Criteria are ordered according to importance, corresponding to the following weightings:

- Significance: 30%
- Credibility: 25%
- Quality of Narrative and Approach: 20%
- Budget: 15%
- Deliverables: 10%

Eligibility for Review	Proposers are members of CCCC Yes [ ] No [ ] Timelines proposed meet the guidelines Yes [ ] No [ ] All Required parts of proposal are included Yes [ ] No [ ]
	Please assign a score for each category:
Significance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does this study address an important problem?</li> <li>• Is it well-situated in important scholarly conversations?</li> <li>• If its aims are achieved, how will disciplinary knowledge be advanced?</li> <li>• Will this project advance and contribute to the continued development of the applicant(s) as a scholar?</li> </ul>
Applicant(s)' Credibility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is (are) the applicants(s) appropriately trained and well suited to carry out this work?</li> <li>• Is the proposed work appropriate to the experience level of the applicant(s)?</li> </ul>
Quality of Proposal's Narrative and Approach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does the proposal meet all criteria in the guidelines appropriate to the type of research it proposes?</li> <li>• Are the conceptual framework, design, methods, and analyses adequately developed, well integrated, and appropriate to the aims of the project?</li> <li>• Does the applicant acknowledge potential problem areas and consider limitations?</li> <li>• Are the aims original and innovative?</li> <li>• Does the project employ novel concepts, approaches, or methods?</li> </ul>
Budget	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Can the proposed work be accomplished with the funds requested and the time period described?</li> <li>• Is the suggested allocation of funds reasonable?</li> <li>• Are the budgeted requests justified?</li> <li>• Has the PI (and Co-PIs) provided an adequate explanation regarding the time, travel and expenses for archival research, studio space, office space for graduate students, etc. to carry out the proposed activity?</li> </ul>
Deliverables	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a reasonable plan for dissemination of the results of the project's investigation?</li> <li>• Will there be tangible ways that the CCCC's support is credited and that the organization's mission is furthered?</li> </ul>