2021–22 CCCC Research Initiative: Review Criteria

Proposals will be scored on a 5 point scale using criteria defined below.

- Excellent: 5
- Very good: 4
- Good: 3
- Adequate: 2
- Poor: 1

Criteria are ordered according to importance, corresponding to the following weightings:

- Significance: 30%
- Credibility: 25%
- Quality of Narrative and Approach: 20%
- Budget: 15%
- Deliverables: 10%

Eligibility for Review	Proposers are members of CCCC Yes [] No [] Timelines proposed meet the guidelines Yes [] No [] All Required parts of proposal are included Yes [] No []
	Please assign a score for each category:
Significance	 Does this study address an important problem? Is it well-situated in important scholarly conversations? If its aims are achieved, how will disciplinary knowledge be advanced? Will this project advance and contribute to the continued development of the applicant(s) as a scholar?
Applicant(s)' Credibility	 Is (are) the applicants(s) appropriately trained and well suited to carry out this work? Is the proposed work appropriate to the experience level of the applicant(s)?
Quality of Proposal's Narrative and Approach	 Does the proposal meet all criteria in the guidelines appropriate to the type of research it proposes? Are the conceptual framework, design, methods, and analyses adequately developed, well integrated, and appropriate to the aims of the project? Does the applicant acknowledge potential problem areas and consider limitations? Are the aims original and innovative? Does the project employ novel concepts, approaches, or methods?
Budget	 Can the proposed work be accomplished with the funds requested and the time period described? Is the suggested allocation of funds reasonable? Are the budgeted requests justified? Has the PI (and Co-PIs) provided an adequate explanation regarding the time, travel and expenses for archival research, studio space, office space for graduate students, etc. to carry out the proposed activity?
Deliverables	 Is there a reasonable plan for dissemination of the results of the project's investigation? Will there be tangible ways that the CCCC's support is credited and that the organization's mission is furthered?