2021–22 CCCC Research Initiative: Review Criteria

Proposals will be scored on a 5 point scale using criteria defined below.

- Excellent: 5
- Very good: 4
- Good: 3
- Adequate: 2
- Poor: 1

Criteria are ordered according to importance, corresponding to the following weightings:

- Significance: 30%
- Credibility: 25%
- Quality of Narrative and Approach: 20%
- Budget: 15%
- Deliverables: 10%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligibility for Review</th>
<th>Proposers are members of CCCC</th>
<th>Yes [ ] No [ ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Timelines proposed meet the</td>
<td>Yes [ ] No [ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>guidelines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Required parts of proposal are included</td>
<td>Yes [ ] No [ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please assign a score for each category:

**Significance**
- Does this study address an important problem?
- Is it well-situated in important scholarly conversations?
- If its aims are achieved, how will disciplinary knowledge be advanced?
- Will this project advance and contribute to the continued development of the applicant(s) as a scholar?

**Applicant(s)’ Credibility**
- Is (are) the applicants(s) appropriately trained and well suited to carry out this work?
- Is the proposed work appropriate to the experience level of the applicant(s)?

**Quality of Proposal’s Narrative and Approach**
- Does the proposal meet all criteria in the guidelines appropriate to the type of research it proposes?
- Are the conceptual framework, design, methods, and analyses adequately developed, well integrated, and appropriate to the aims of the project?
- Does the applicant acknowledge potential problem areas and consider limitations?
- Are the aims original and innovative?
- Does the project employ novel concepts, approaches, or methods?

**Budget**
- Can the proposed work be accomplished with the funds requested and the time period described?
- Is the suggested allocation of funds reasonable?
- Are the budgeted requests justified?
- Has the PI (and Co-PIs) provided an adequate explanation regarding the time, travel and expenses for archival research, studio space, office space for graduate students, etc. to carry out the proposed activity?

**Deliverables**
- Is there a reasonable plan for dissemination of the results of the project’s investigation?
- Will there be tangible ways that the CCCC’s support is credited and that the organization’s mission is furthered?